## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON DIVISION

	SC	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI FILED				
1		SEP 1 4 2010				
	BY_	J. T. NOBLIN, CLERK	γ			

CHI	ITZIS	ANE	M	WH	11	A N	15

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

CAUSE NO. 3:08CV00624-DPJ-FKB

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

**DEFENDANT** 

## JURY VERDICT

## Question 1:

Do you find that Plaintiff Christiane Williams has proven by a preponderance of the
evidence that Defendant MDOC retaliated against her for filing one or more charges with the
EEOC?
Yes
X No
If you answered "No" to question 1, then your verdict will be for Defendant.
If you answered "Yes" to question 1, then go on to question 2.
If you answered "No" to question 1, then your verdict will be for Defendant.

## **Question 2:**

Has Defendant MDOC proven that it would have made the same decisions regarding

Plaintiff Christiane Williams' employment and/or discipline even if it had not considered

Plaintiff's protected activities?

Yes
No

If you answered "Yes" to question 2, then your verdict will be for Defendant.

If you answered "No" to question 2, then your verdict will be for Plaintiff and you should go on

Damages	:			•	
We, the ju	ıry, award	Plaintiff compensator	y damages in the amou	int of	
A.	\$		for lost wages, if an	ny; and	
B.	\$		_ for physical and/or	or mental pain	
		and su	Iffering, if any;		
Fo	r a total (a	add $A + B$ ) award of \$		in damages	

This the Lyth day of September, 2010.